My name is Jessie and this is Jozef Majerczyk. We live at 2424 212th Ave SE, Sammamish.

At the Town Hall meeting on or around March 15, I spoke to you in regards to the setbacks of Pine Stream. In our situation, there is a 150 foot setback, while others build close, if not on the water of certain streams and lakes. During the last Town Hall meeting, I found it very interesting. You had a guest speaker, who explained how streams are classified. They explained in much detail how the setbacks are determined by the stream's classification. During this meeting, we were informed that Pine Stream is in fact a seasonal stream and belongs to the type NS Stream, where water is in the stream only when there is heavy rain or when water is released from basins further up the stream, but not during the rest of the year. I believe from the information that was presented during this meeting by your guest speaker, that there is a setback of 50 feet based on the stream's classification but not 150 feet as ours is. I still do believe that with the stream that cuts our property, there should not be more than a 30 foot buffer do to the fact of no water or fish existing there. Based on this information that was presented to the members of the City of Sammamish, I would expect the City to accept our permits that we have paid for, in regards to the addition. I would also like for the Council to make strong recommendations to the City of Sammamish to change the setbacks of this stream based on the

science of classification that was explained in such detail, that it was misclassified and it be corrected so that noone in future has this same problem.

Another issue was the one with our neighbor, the developer of Pine Lake Community. We are still awaiting a response or explanation as to if there is a filtration type system placed on the pipe that is released onto our property. Our trees continue to fall over and die.

I would love for anyone from the coucil and invite you all to come and see just how the developer has taken the measures to care of the development he has developed and then to see what they have left for us on our property, so that you may judge for yourself if my requests are unreasonable. I know that when you speak of issues in a forum such as this, differs completely when you can actually see the damage that was caused.

Thank you for your time and attention.

EXHIBIT NO. 107

Comparison of Allowable Density on Properties w/ Critical Areas

Hypothetical scenario used for comparison

- 10 acre site zoned R-1 (1 residential development unit per acre)
- 4 of the 10 acres contain critical areas and buffers
- 6 of the 10 acres are unencumbered (do not contain critical areas)

<u>King County</u> 10 development units (d. u.'s) allowed
Full credit for all acres on site

<u>Redmond</u> 10 d.u.'s allowed
Full credit for all acres on site

<u>Issaquah</u> 8.8 d.u.'s allowed
Full credit for 6 unencumbered acres +2.8 d.u.'s for 4 critical area acres
Issaquah applies a variable "density credit" to critical area acres

<u>Bellevue</u> 8.4 d.u.'s allowed
Full credit for 6 unencumbered acres + 2.4 d.u.'s for 4 critical area acres
Bellevue applies a variable "density credit" to critical area acres

<u>Sammamish</u> <6 d.u.'s allowed
Full credit for 6 unencumbered acres, less acreage required for streets/roads (~15%)
Sammamish also requires 50% of site to be set aside in permanent open space

Prepared by Gregory Kipp based on Zoning / Development Codes from the respective jurisdictions
King County: KCC 21A.12.060
Redmond: RZC 21.08.170
Issaquah: IMC 18.10.450
Bellevue: BLUC 20.25H.045
Sammamish: SMC21A.25.080

EXHIBIT NO. 108