→ The contiguous wildlife corridor that crosses Inglewood Hill Rd between 212th + 213th Ave should be re-evaluated using Best Available Science.

2005 Basin Plan drafts show this as "Forest". Later drafts call it R-6, ~~no any change~~

EXHIBIT NO. 35

EHNSWB — *50190 Would like more balance between property rights and overlay — spec. density transfer rights.

- leave steep slopes protected.
- allow - trans density or clustering on less steeper portions. Property has been tied up year since 1965 -

EXHIBIT NO. 36

EHNSWB - Consider abandoning the overlay & consider parcels individually. Apply, slope, erosion, wetland, etc areas to each as appropriate. The overlay as written does not allow for any exception.

EXHIBIT NO. 37

THIS IS JUST COMMON SENSE →

OVERLAYS SHOULD BE ADVISORY ONLY ← I AGREE TOO & NOT GENERAL LIMITATION ON ALL MAPPED PROPERTIES. WOULD TRIGGER ADDITIONAL SPECIFIC SAMPLES & OPTIONAL METHODS FOR MEETING ECA GOALS.

EXHIBIT NO. 38

- GEO.TECH OR GEOLOGIST SHOULD BE USE IN DETERMINING ABILITY/FEASIBILITY IS SLOPED AREAS. DO NOT JUST DEFAULT TO REASONABLE USE DETERMINATION.

EXHIBIT NO. 39.

- WHAT ABOUT MANMADE CUTS THAT ARE GREATER THAN 10' VERT. (DIDN'T IT USED TO BE 20'?)? ➡ LOTS OF STREETS CUTS HAVE CREATED CRIT. AREAS.

EXHIBIT NO. 40