

Connectivity

Process

Process Outline – June 29, 2009

- Define a successful outcome
- Gather data
- Engage the public
- Develop draft criteria
- Revisit the public
- Test the Criteria
- Develop an Implementation Plan

Tonight's Update

- Summary of the process to date
- Share public feedback
- Share recommended criteria
- Proposed next steps

Process to date

- Bus Tour – July 28, 2009
- Bus Tour Debrief – July 30, 2009
- Public workshops – October 22 and 28, 2009
- City Council update – March 15, 2010

Bus Tour



Bus Tour Debrief

- July 30, 2009
- Video Taped
- Traffic calming methods
- Process/public involvement



October Workshops

Table 1. 10/6/19
NOT REMOVED UNLESS:
 DO NOT REMOVE A BARRICADE UNLESS THE CITY DEMONSTRATES MUCH GREATER PUBLIC SAFETY WITHOUT THE BARRICADE!
 Overwhelming H&S Reason, Damages
 i.e. sight, road safety, response time, grade
 1. Quality of Life preserved
 2. Infrastructure, Mitigations, complete (e.g. not "planned") Loop frog Deck & existing situations
 3. Maintenance of Property Values
 5. Incorporate these in Deck Regs



High

- Safety is everything!
- * Topography Challenges (Snow Conditions / Site Distance / Cues / Grade / Blind)
- * Traffic Volume (Increase or change in flow)
- * Traffic Speed (Speeding & Dangerous Driving) Accident Rate Before
- * Children & Pedestrian Safety (Prox. to schools / Daycares / Parks / Playgrounds /)
- * Wildlife & Environmental Safety (Deer / Beavers)
- * Cost - Litigation due to accidents / Infrastructure / Protests
 - Road Construction / Drainage & sidewalks
- * Road Design Standards (Does it meet safety standards?)
 - Variances (History of Barricade)

Medium

- Emergency Service Response (Fixed by Opticon)
- Air & Water Pollution (Car Volume)
 - Water / Wetland & Streams / Oil Run-off
- Preservation of Neighborhood Character

Public Feedback

- Workshop/ proposed criteria
- Specific comments for a particular barricade (remove/don't remove)
- Don't use "cookie cutter" criteria
- Concerns about data sources
- Posted written comments on our website

Recommended Criteria

- Safety

- Traffic

- What are the potential shift in traffic volumes;
- How to control speeding issues on existing roads;
- What are the vehicle miles traveled now verses without the barricade.

Recommended Criteria

- Roadway geometry
 - Vertical sight distance;
 - Horizontal sight distance;
 - Roadway grade.

- Roadway Amenities/standards
 - Roadway width;
 - Non-motorized facilities.

Recommended Criteria

- Environmental

- Air pollution;
- Water Quality;
- Vehicle Miles Traveled.

- Neighborhood character

- Potential Mitigation – does it change the neighborhood feel;
- What are the unique attributes.

Next Steps

- Tonight – confirm criteria with City Council

- Staff return to City Council with:
 - Specific and measurable performance measures for the criteria that are both technical and equitable
 - Recommendation for 1st barricade to apply criteria
 - Define “influence area” for said barricade using the transportation model

- Schedule area neighborhood meetings

Next Steps

- Staff gathers current traffic data
 - Today's volumes and accident history
 - Predicted traffic volume shifts
 - Like street statistics
- Hold area neighborhood meetings
 - Review criteria with neighborhood/influence area
 - Prioritize criteria with neighborhood
- Apply criteria
- Report outcome to council